

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/615,639	07/08/2003		John Stephenson Neel	P1381D1	1426		
24739	7590	01/22/2004		EXAMINER			
CENTRAL PO BOX 187		PATENT AGENC	PATEL, KIRAN B				
AROMAS,		4		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3612			

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)						
~	Office Action Summer	10/615,6	39	NEEL, JOHN STEPHENSON						
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit						
		Kiran B. F		3612						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION mailed to the provisions of 37 CF. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no ev n. a reply within the sta eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to lication to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.					
	Personaive to communication(s) filed on (	ne luly 2002								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> .  This action is FINAL.									
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
	isposition of Claims									
4)[2]	4) Claim(s) 7-25 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 7-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
	ion Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>										
Attachmen										
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(		4) Interview Summary ( 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTC	s) )-152)					

Art Unit: 3612

## Detailed Action

## Election and/or Restriction

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under
   U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 7-13, drawn to an airfoil, classified in Class 296, Subclass
     180.2.
  - II. Claims 14-20, drawn to a method, classified in Class 296, Subclass 190.09.
  - III. Claims 21-25, drawn to a system, classified in Class 296, Subclass 91.
- 2. The inventions are distinct each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination.

  Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination I as claimed does not require the particulars of an array of vortex generators of

Art Unit: 3612

subcombination III. The subcombination has a utility in other combinations such as

Page 3

a guidance member.

3. Inventions II and I/III are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that

the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product

or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different

process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made casting

process.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent

subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

1. This application, as best understood, contains claims directed to the

following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - directed towards Fig. 3

Species B - directed towards Fig. 4-5.

Art Unit: 3612

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species 2. for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which is generic to all species.

Page 4

- 3. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to 4. consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP [] 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3612

Page 5

- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. A telephone call was made for the Attorney/Agent responsible for this application to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examiners even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3612

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if

one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least

one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be

accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(I).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should

be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-

305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E

Page 6

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

January 15, 2004

• Annlica